

## Summary of meeting in CBS' Research Practice Committee, 29 November 2016

### Participants

Søren Friis Hansen, Chairman

Lucia Reisch, member

H.C. Kongsted, member

Nikolaj Burmeister, secretary

### Welcome

Søren Friis Hansen welcomed the members of the Research Practice Committee, and the members presented themselves.

### Rules and expectations

Søren Friis Hansen informed that he had been chair of the interim research practice committee, set up in May 2016. H.C. Kongsted supplemented by saying that he took part in the working group, which has outlined the rules governing CBS' Practice Committee.

Søren Friis Hansen presented the rules governing the Practice Committee. He stressed that the committee can invite experts to participate in handling concrete cases. Moreover, the conclusions reached by the committee cannot be appealed to the ministry, however, it is possible to file a case with the courts if one is dissatisfied with a conclusion made by the committee.

### Presentation of expectations concerning new law regarding research misconduct

Søren Friis Hansen informed the members of the committee that a new law concerning research misconduct is under way. The new law will define research misconduct as well as questionable research practice and divide the tasks between the national council (UVVU) and the universities (Practice Committees). It is expected that more cases shall be dealt with at an institutional level.

### Cooperation with the Named Person

Nikolaj Burmeister informed the committee that Academic Council has appointed Jan Mouritzen as CBS' Named Person. The Named Person will not be a member of the Research Practice Committee, but Nikolaj Burmeister will contact him to hear if he requests support, e.g. concerning the norms and rules he will be advising on.

### Tasks to be given priority

Søren Friis Hansen noted that there are no concrete cases to be dealt with at present. The committee will be informed when cases are received.

The committee discussed the need to develop detailed codes concerning co-authorship, dissemination, and external funding, as suggested by the working group that drafted the rules of the committee. Moreover, Søren Friis Hansen presented Copenhagen University's rules concerning public contracts (myndighedsbetjening), and Nikolaj Burmeister argued that the committee could supplement the national code's norms concerning conflicts of interest.

The practice committee decided to await the new law and possible changes to the national code on research conduct. Until then the board will work on the basis of the existing national code. Moreover, H.C. Kongsted suggested keeping an eye on developments and practices at other universities.

The committee decided that an annual report shall be drafted. The report will include work done by the interim practice committee.

Nikolaj Burmeister presented a draft procedure for filing complaints to the Research Practice Committee. The procedure will be forwarded to the members of the committee for concrete comments. Once these comments have been received, the procedure is expected to be presented on Share and possibly on cbs.dk.

### **Any Other Business**

Nikolaj Burmeister referred to the data management group set up by the library. Data management is included in the national code on research practice, and hence the committee shall be informed of the work done by the data management group.

Søren Friis Hansen informed the committee that he would present the Research Practice Committee and its work to a meeting with the Ph.D.-supervisors.

Nikolaj Burmeister